



# Experimental study of mechanical and thermal damage in crystalline hard rock

**Mohammad Keshavarz** 

Réunion Technique du CFMR - Thèses en Mécanique des Roches

December, 3<sup>nd</sup> 2009

#### **Overview**

- Introduction
- Characterization of North African gabbro
- Acoustic emission (AE) monitoring of intact rocks during uniaxial tests
- Damage and rock properties evolution due to mechanical and thermal loadings
- Conclusions

/	X	×	S	<b>K</b>
Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

## Main objectives of the research

- To study crack propagation and to predict failure of rock subjected to:
  - high pressure
  - high temperature
- To relate to:
  - Acoustic Emission
  - Sonic waves velocity
  - Micro-crack evolution

in order to investigate damage in crystalline intact rock

Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusion
				K *

# Failure precursors study in laboratory

Rock deformation data;

 $\geq$ 

- Acoustic emission (AE) and sonic wave velocity changes;
- Infrared radiation (IR) and thermal anomalies;
- Rock electrical resistivity changes ;
- Electromagnetic emissions and anomalies;

	FN	``	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N
Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
······································	N.	·/······	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	{ <i>,</i> /

# Characterization of North African gabbro

# **Microscopic investigation under polarized light**



#### North African gabbro

				6
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions

## **Mechanical tests and physical parameters measurements**

- Uniaxial compression tests
  - Elasticity modulus, E
  - Poisson's ratio, v
  - Max. uniaxial compressive strength,  $\sigma_{cmax}$
- Brazilian tests
  - Tensile strength,  $\sigma_{ct}$
- > Triaxial tests

Introduction

- Mohr-Coulomb, c, φ
- Hoek & Brown, s & m

#### Sonic wave velocity measurements

- Primary elastic wave velocity, Vp
- Shear wave velocity, Vs

## **Specimen preparation and testing equipment**





- a. Grinding machine
- **b.** Measuring of parallelism
- c. Elastic wave measurement
- d. Schenck press
- e. Close view of specimen after uniaxial test







Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
--------------	------------------------	---------------	---------------------------	-------------

#### **Uniaxial compression test on North African gabbro**



#### Specimen Ga10

				9
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
·····		5./	1	

#### **Brazilian tests on gabbro**



			1 N	8 N
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AF monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
introduction		AL IIOIIIOIIIG	Trin damages investigation	Conclusions
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17 N.	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	£./

# Ultra high triaxial compression test by Giga press

Max. capacity of machine;  $\sigma_3 = 650$  MPa and  $\sigma_1 = 2400$  MPa



#### Giga press and confinement cell (a) photo of the cell of the press (b) (Gabet et al, 2006 with modification)

Introduction Rocks characterization AE monitoring T+M damages investigation Conclusions

#### **Triaxial test result on gabbro specimen Ga2(200)**



**Conclusions** 

## **Hoek-Brown and Mohr-Coulomb criteria for gabbro**



Mohr-Coulomb: c = 68 MPa and  $\phi = 43^{\circ}$ Hoek and Brown: s = 1 and m = 30

	ſ	<u>.</u>			4		1	۶,
Introduction		<b>Docks</b> characterization		AE monitoring		T <sub>1</sub> M domogoe invoctigation		Conclusions
introduction		RUCKS CHAIACLEHZALIUN		AE monitoring		T+W Uallayes Investigation		CONCIUSIONS
		······································	1.4		1. Let			

## **Summary of mechanical tests and physical measurements**

	North African Gabbro						
Properties	Mean values	Number of tests					
ρ <sub>b</sub> (g/cm3)	2.90	± 0.05	3				
Porosity (%)	<0.5	± 0.05	3				
Vp (m/s)	6560	± 99	16				
Vs (m/s)	4078	± 76	16				
U	0.21	± 0.03	3				
E [GPa]	88	± 2.5	3				
σ <sub>ct</sub> [MPa]	11.5	± 1.5	11				
σ <sub>cmax</sub> [MPa]	226	± 11	3				

	N	N/	N	`\
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
				<u>,</u>

Acoustic emission (AE) monitoring and deformation data during uniaxial tests on intact rock

#### **Damage evolution and stress – deformation curves**



Step I : Cracks closure,  $\sigma_{cc}$ 

Step II : Elasticity, reversible strains, Cracks initiation stress,  $\sigma_{\rm ci}$ 

Step III : Crack damage threshold, maximum contraction,  $\sigma_{cd}$ 

Step IV : Peak strength, failure stress,  $\sigma_{\rm cf}$ 

Step V : Post- failure, strain softening

16

#### Monotonic Compression Tests and Typical Thresholds during unconfined compression test

				±0
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions

## **Acoustic emission and related parameters**



#### **Comparison of AE records and Stress – Deformation data**



#### Identification of damage thresholds in gabbro specimen Ga10 by AE energy parameter

							18
<i>۱</i>	 ( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			4			
Introduction	ocks characterization		AE monitoring		T+M damages investigation		Conclusions
	 	. B. All		5 M		-17	

#### AE monitoring during Brazilian test on gabbro



#### **Comparison of AE monitoring and stress – deformation data**

- AE monitoring is more accurate than stress-deformation curve to determine damage thresholds,
- AE energy parameter is a more effective parameter than the conventional AE hit number to detect different stages of the rock failure process,

Introduction Rocks characterization AE monitoring T+M damages investigation Conclusion		4 ° • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	F	F	
	Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions

# Damage investigations on mechanically and thermally loaded specimens

#### **Different effects of mechanical and thermal changes on rocks**



/	<b>6</b> .		6		4		έ <b>ι</b> ,
Introduction		<b>Rocks characterization</b>		AE monitoring		T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
	1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.1		



To evaluate the magnitude of damages in both T. & M. methods, we've used,

- > Uniaxial compression tests ( $\sigma_{cmax}$ , E, U, ...),
- Elastic wave velocity measurements (Vs and Vp),
- AE monitoring,
- Microscopic investigation.

Introduction Rocks characterization AE monitoring T+M damages	investigation	Conclusions

#### **Mechanical damage investigation**



#### Ultra high pressure triaxial test on gabbro specimen Ga1(650)

				24
Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions

#### **Thermal damage investigation**

#### Nominale temperature:

150, 300, 450, 500, 600, 700, 800 and 1000 °C

#### Heating rate: 100°C/hour





# Uniaxial compression tests on specimen having experienced high temperature



				26
Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions

 $\gamma c$ 

#### **Uniaxial strength and elastic modulus changes**



				27
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions

#### Sonic wave velocity changes (Vs and Vp)



							28
	\			4			
Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>		AE monitoring		T+M damages investigation		Conclusions
		- E / I	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1.1.1		1. E A "	

#### **Acoustic emission monitoring**



				29
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
·······				

# **Photos of specimen – macroscopic failure**



	Ŋ	\	Ŋ	Ŋ
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
······	·	j	······································	

# **Microscopic investigations of thermally treated specimens**



**Oxidation** appearance at 500°C



Micro-crack initiation due to different thermal expansion coefficient between pyroxene and a surrounded crystal



#### Oxidation development in pyroxenes at 800°C



Blow up liquid and gas inclusion at 300°C effects

Introduction	F	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	D	Conclusions

# Microscopic investigations of Mechanically damaged specimens



#### **Evidences of ultra high triaxial** mechanical damage in plagioclase



				JZ
Introduction	Rocks characterization	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions

# **Tomography investigations**





**Intact rock** 

800°C loaded

Introduction

# **Conclusions**

# Conclusions (1/2)

Damage investigations on intact rocks:

- AE monitoring is more efficient way than rock deformation data to determine damage thresholds during uniaxial compression tests,
- We found also that AE <u>energy</u> parameter delineates the different steps of rock failure procedures more accurately than conventional AE <u>hit</u> <u>number</u>,

	P			P.,
Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>	AE monitoring	T+M damages investigation	Conclusions
······				

# **Conclusions (2/2)**

#### **Thermally treated rocks:**

- Physical properties (E, σ<sub>max</sub>, Vp, Vs and AE energy and AE hit parameters) decrease with the temperature increase,
- > Above 600°C mechanical properties of thermally treated gabbro drastically decrease,
- Oxidation in high temperature and different expansion coefficients between crystals are the main causes of damage in thermally treated specimens.

#### **Mechanically damaged rock:**

- In spite of ultra high pressure, the mechanical and physical parameters of rock decrease only up to 25 %. We conclude that this is due to smaller porosity of gabbro. However, AE monitoring demonstrates mechanical damage better than uniaxial test and sonic wave velocity measurements,
- In thin section studies, the development of micro-cracks through the crystalline structure is typical of mechanical damage.

			50
	S		
Introduction	<b>Rocks characterization</b>	AE monitoring T+M damages investi	gation Conclusions
	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

# Thank you